



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,018	11/26/2003	Hugh Harnsberger	17327.104.1	1781
22913	7590	06/26/2009		
Workman Nydegger 1000 Eagle Gate Tower 60 East South Temple Salt Lake City, UT 84111			EXAMINER FILIPCZYK, MARCIN R	
			ART UNIT 2158	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 06/26/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/723,018

Applicant(s)

HARNSEBERGER ET AL.

Examiner

MARC R. FILIPCZYK

Art Unit

2158

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MARC R. FILIPCZYK.(3) CARL REED.(2) HEATHER MANWARING.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 24 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: NONE.

Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A PROPOSED AMENDMENT WAS DISCUSSED WHEREIN THE APPLICANT SUGGESTED AMENDING THE HIERARCHY OF DATA IN THE DATABASE. EXAMINER SUGGESTED TO INCLUDE THE INTERACTIVE TOOLS FOR MODIFYING THE SPECIFIC DATA AMONG A PLURALITY OF USERS.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Marc R Filipczyk/
Examiner, Art Unit 2158

/Mohammad Ali/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2158